

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/041,832	10/19/2001	Robert Dale Haun	DEE6270P0130US	4546	
7590 04/06/2004			EXAMINER		
The Law Office of Randall T. Erickson, P.C.			BOTTORFF, CHRISTOPHER		
425 W. Wesley Suite 1	St.		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Wheaton, IL	60187		3618		
			DATE MAILED: 04/06/200	DATE MAILED: 04/06/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action	10/041,832	HAUN, ROBERT DALE)				
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Christopher Bottorff	3618				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 16 March 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.						
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]						
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adv event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later th ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The danave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extensions of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three moteraned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	risory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE te on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.7 sion and the corresponding amount of the statutory period for reply originally set in	of the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. Solution 136(a) and the appropriate extended the final Office action; or	See MPEP e extension fee tension fee under (2) as set forth in			
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant' 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF	R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal	period set forth in of the appeal.				
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be						
(a) they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) ☑ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or						
(d) \square they present additional claims without cance	ling a corresponding number of	finally rejected clair	ms.			
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection						
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	d be allowable if submitted in a s	separate, timely file	d amendment			
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: _	or reconsideration has been con 	sidered but does No	OT place the			
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	f to issues which we	ere newly			
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims w	$\operatorname{at}(s)$ a) \boxtimes will not be entered or lookly ould be rejected is provided be	b)⊡ will be entered low or appended.	and an			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows	:					
Claim(s) allowed: 6 and 11-14.						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-5,7-10 and 15</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) ap	proved or b)□ disapproved by	y the Examiner.				
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement	ent(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	·				
10. Other:						

Application No.

Applicant(s)

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The amendment and remarks relating to claims 1-4 and 15 have been considered, but are not persuasive. The claims do not specifically define the nature and position of the "centerline" as asserted by Applicant. The apparatus performs the claimed function within the broadest reasonable interpretation of the claimed "centerline." In regard to claims 7-10, the new limitations defining the bracket as comprising a metal base portion or steel plate extending across a width of the hood represents a new issue that requires further searching and consideration. Also, claims 5, 6, and 11-14 are in condition for allowance, but the amendments to these claims and the abstract will not be entered due to the amendments to claims 1-4, 7-10, and 15 in the amendment.

BRIÁN L. JOHNSÓN

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600

2